

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,059	Applicant(s) MAURO, SCHIAVON	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Thomas Baker.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to emphasize the patentable feature of the claimed invention as the Applicant argued in the remarks filed 3 May 2006 and to clearly distinguish from the prior art references by amending claims 1 and 2 with structure limitations ; and to correct minor informalities in claims 6 and 25 by an examiner's amendment..